settlement ; only the question of the kandayam will be settled.

Mr. H. Krishna Sastri.—That is what I say. No new map need be prepared. The old map may be taken and all possible and necessary additions or alterations made in it.

Dewan.—Existing orders of Government will be made more specific. As re-settlement work goes on taluk by taluk difficulties may be brought to the notice of the Survey officers and Government.

Mr. Narasimhachar of T.-Narasipur.—The maps do not show where channels end and sometimes the raiyats block these streams and turn them on to their own lands and cause great damage to other lands. Even the smallest streams should be shown on the map.

Revenue Commissioner.—The Survey Department mark the channels that are useful to the public. They cannot mark all the petty streams simply because there is some dispute on account of this among the raiyats.

Reply of Government.—Government agree that there is hardship. The existing order will be modified so that, in all cases of general agreement, channels should be marked and if there is any dispute the Survey and Settlement Officer will refer the matter to the Amildar. If there are any private disputes, Government will acquire the land in question.

SUBJECT No. 46.

Sale of shanbhogi service inam lands for arrears of revenue.

Mr. N. Rama Rao, Kolar District Board.

Summary of discussion.—Only the portion of the land in respect of which kandayam is not paid may be brought to sale instead of the entire inam as at present.

The Revenue Commissioner explained the existing rules to the members.

The Dewan said that it was not possible to grant the request.

PROTECTION.

LEGISLATION.

(Nos. 47 to 58.)

SUBJECT No. 47.

Election to the Legislative Council.

Messrs. C. Chennakesaviah, Chitaldrug Taluk. R. Sreenivasa Rao Chitaldrug Municipality, H. Krishna Sastri, Sambhugowda, Venkatasubbaiya, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

The members represented that this proposal had come before the Representative Assembly several times before, that it is necessary to have members from each District on the Legislative Council, that Tumkur and Kadur have not had a member in the Council, so far and that Kolar has had a member only for the last one year ; that instead of the present system of election of a member from groups of two districts, each district may be given the privilege of electing a member to represent it in the Legislative

Council, the Representative Assembly returning four members as heretofore. Some members suggested that the nominations may be made so as to give representation to the districts unrepresented in the result of the election, but a fear was expressed that this might interfere with the representation of minorities, etc.

Mr. M. Subbiah was against nominations being made to give representation to districts and said that it did not matter if all members elected came from the same district or more districts. The best men should be returned to the Legislative Council irrespective of the districts to which they belong.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao.—The members should be elected in the district itself. The votes of Representative Assembly Members may be recorded in their own places.

Reply of Government.—Government will carefully consider these suggestions which require a redistribution of seats on the Legislative Council.

SUBJECT No. 48.

Qualification of members of the Legislative Council.

Mr. B. Gundu Rao, Devanhalli Taluk.

Mr. Gundu Rao.—Members who are not Mysoreans and who have no landed interest in the State should not be nominated to the Legislative Council.

Temporary Member.—A confidential list of such members may be given.

Mr. Gundu Rao.—Government can make enquiries and ascertain.

Reply of Government.—The general principle is correct, but it may be necessary sometimes to nominate a person who is neither a Mysorean nor has any landed interest in the State to the Legislative Council to represent particular interests. No hard and fast rule can be made as regards nomination by Government.

SUBJECT No. 49.

Nomination of a non-official member of the Legislative Council to the State Council.

Messrs. C. Subba Rao, Shimoga District Board, and A. Ananthiah, Nagar Sabha.

Mr. C. Subba Rao said that there should be a non-official member on the Executive Council. Non-officials have been appointed Sub-Judges and Chief Court Judges. Similar appointments should be made to the Executive Council. This was the next step. If this could not be now, it may be four years hence. Last year a proposal that a member of the Representative Assembly should be nominated to the Executive Council was sent to Government. But Government did not give a reply that could satisfy them. If it was not possible to take a member from the Representative Assembly to the Council a member from the Legislative Council might at least be taken. Government should give attention to this proposition.

Dewan.—I wish to ask the members one question. The Legislative Council is a place where the non-official